UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,850	06/13/2006	Hans-Detlef Luginsland	274669US0PCT	5763
	0 06/13/2006 Hans-Detlef Luginsland 274669US0PCT 7590 08/12/2010 N, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. UKE STREET ANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAF 1793 NOTIFICATION DATE DEL	INER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		,	PARVINI, PEGAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	10/542,850	LUGINSLAND ET AL.			
Lxammer-milated milerview Summar	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PEGAH PARVINI	1793			
All Participants:	Status of Application 8/2/2010	: <u>BPAI decision mailed on</u>			
(1) <u>PEGAH PARVINI</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>KRISTEN GRUENEBERG</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 5 August 2010	Time: <u>12:35pm</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A					
Claims discussed: 24					
Prior art documents discussed: N/A					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Control of the Examiner contacted the applicant to suggest canceling voice mail on 8/5/2010, confirmed the cancelation.					
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
	thony J. Green/ lary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 (Applicant/Applicant's Repre	sentative Signature – if appropriate)			